

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/708,597	03/12/2004	Brian Barnes	60655.6200	2596
5514	7590 03/22/2006		EXAMINER	
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			LE, UYEN CHAU N	
	CKEFELLER PLAZA YORK, NY 10112		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2876	
			DATE MAILED: 03/22/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<del>-</del> A			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/708,597	BARNES ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
T. 4441.000.04.5	Uyen-Chau N. Le	2876			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. (D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ju	<u>une 2004</u> .				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	· ·				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/04: 8/04: 4/05:\$/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2876

### DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

#### Prelim. Amdt/Amendment

1. Receipt is acknowledged of the Preliminary Amendment filed 14
June 2006.

# Specification

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of its informalities.

Re abstract, line 6: Substitute "resource"s" with -- resource's

Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

### Claim Objections

3. Claims 1-2 and 9 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Re claim 1, line 4: Delete ", said transponder system identifier"

Re claim 2, line 4: Substitute "method" with -- computer-implemented device --.

Re claim 9, line 4: Delete ", said transponder system identifier"

Re claim 9, line 6: Substitute "interface" with -- interface; -

Re claim 9, line 9: Substitute "interface." with -- interface;

Appropriate correction is required.

## Provisional Obviousness-Type Double Patenting

4. Claims 1-8, 13 and 22-26 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-8 and 10-15 of copending Application No. 10/883,458 (hereinafter '458).

Re claims 1-8: Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because in claims 1-8 of the instant application, Applicants claim a system for performance tracking transponder, the system comprising "a transponder system responsive to a Radio Frequency interrogation signal", "... storing at least a transponder system identifier"; "a Radio Frequency Identification (RFID) reader in Radio Frequency (RF) communication with said transponder system, said RFID reader providing said interrogation signal to said transponder system and receiving said transponder system identifier"; and "a resource engine associated with an employer identifier, said resource engine including a point of interaction terminal, said point of interaction

terminal in communication with said RFID reader; wherein said RFID reader provides said transponder system identifier to said point of interaction terminal, said point of interaction terminal associating said employer identifier and transponder system identifier to a transaction request, forming a performance request, said point of interaction terminal providing said performance tracking request to a resource engine server". patent discloses a system for facilitating a transaction comprising transponder system responsive to a Radio Frequency (RF) interrogation signal, said transponder system operable to provide an account number via RF communication..."; "a **RF** reader communication with said transponder system, said RF reader operable to provide an interrogation signal to said transponder system and receive said account number via RF communication; "a resource engine associated with an employer identifier, said resource engine including a point of interaction terminal, said point of interaction terminal in communication with said RF reader; wherein said RF reader provides said account number to said point of interaction terminal, said point of interaction terminal associating said employer identifier and said account number to a transaction request, forming a performance tracking request, said point of interaction terminal providing said performance tracking request to a resource engine server." Although the scope of claims

1-8 of the present application and claims 1-8 of '458 application are almost identical, the difference between the present claimed invention and the '458 application is that the present claimed invention is a broader recitation of the '458 application (e.g., the present claimed invention recites "RFID reader providing said interrogation signal to said transponder system and receiving said transponder system identifier, etc." whereby the '149 application recites "RF reader operable to provide an interrogation signal to said transponder system and receive said account number via RF Thus, with respect to above discussions, it communication, etc."). would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the teaching of claims 1-8 of '458 application as a general teaching for having a system for performance tracking with the same functions as claimed by the present application. The instant claims obviously encompass the patented claims and differ only in terminology. To the extent that the instant claim is broaden and therefore generic to the patented claims [species], In re Goodman 29 USPQ 2d 2010 CAFC 1993, states that a generic claim cannot be issued without a terminal disclaimer, if a species claim has been previously been patented.

Re claims 13 and 22-26: Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because in claims 13 and 22-26 of the instant application, Applicants claim

Art Unit: 2876

facilitating performance tracking comprising: method for using/facilitating "a transponder to provide a transponder account identifier to a radio frequency identification reader via a radio frequency signal"; "associating the transponder account identifier with an employer account identifier to form a performance tracking request"; "communicating said performance tracking request to a point of interaction terminal"; wherein said point of interaction terminal further communicates with a resource engine using said resource engine to facilitate tracking the performance of a resource associated with said transponder; and wherein said tracking includes at least one of managing, assigning, tracking and grading at least one of resources, tasks, applications, and skills". The '458 patent discloses a method for facilitating performance tracking comprising: method for facilitating performance tracking comprising: using/facilitating "a transponder to provide a transponder account identifier to a radio frequency identification reader via a radio frequency signal...;" "associating the transponder account identifier with an employer account identifier to form a performance tracking request;" "communicating said performance tracking request to a point of interaction terminal; wherein said point of interaction terminal further communicates with a resource engine using said resource engine to facilitate tracking the performance of a resource associated with said transponder; and wherein said tracking

Page 6

includes at least one of management, assignment, grading, pricing, checking-out, inventorying, stocking, shipping, scoring, manipulation, tracking, control, and allocation at least one of resources, tasks, shoppers, products, and supplies." Although the scope of claims 13 and 22-26 of the present application and claims 10-15 of '458 application are almost identical, the difference between the present claimed invention and the '458 application is that the present claimed invention is a broader recitation of the '458 application (e.g., the present claimed invention does not recite "transponder operable to provide said account identifier in ISO/IEC 7816 magnetic stripe Track1/Track 2 format" as in '458). Thus, with respect to above discussions, it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the teaching of claims 10-15 of '458 application as a general teaching for having a system for performance tracking with the same functions as claimed by the present application. instant claims obviously encompass the patented claims and differ only in terminology. To the extent that the instant claim is broaden and therefore generic to the patented claims [species], In re Goodman 29 USPQ 2d 2010 CAFC 1993, states that a generic claim cannot be issued without a terminal disclaimer, if a species claim has been previously been patented.

Art Unit: 2876

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

6. Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kolls (US 6853894 B1).

Re claim 1: Kolls discloses a transponder reader performance tracking system comprising a device 200 serves as a transponder

system responsive to a Radio Frequency interrogation signal, said transponder system including transponder a database, said transponder database storing at least a transponder identifier (i.e., for identifying a vehicle and/or vehicle's owner account) (figs. 1E & 1N; col. 9, lines 43-54 and col. 14, lines 1-22); a Radio Frequency Identification (RFID) reader (i.e., wireless transceiver 134) associated with a COM device 100, which serves as a resource engine associated with an employer identifier (e.g., SHELL, TEXACO, EXXON, etc.) in Radio Frequency (RF) communication with said transponder system (i.e., device 200) (fig. 3; col. 39, line 50 through col. 40, line 12), said RFID reader providing said interrogation signal to said transponder system and receiving said transponder system identifier (i.e., vehicle's identifier and/or vehicle's owner account) (col. 9, line 30 through col. 10, line 45); said resource engine including a point of interaction terminal (col. lines 60-67), point of 14. said interaction terminal communication with said RFID reader (fig. 3); wherein said RFID reader provides said transponder system identifier to said point of interaction terminal, said point of interaction terminal associating said employer identifier and transponder system identifier to a transaction request, forming a performance resource said point of interaction terminal providing request, said performance tracking request to a resource engine server (fig. 11;

col. 10, line 18 through col. 11, line 9; col. 33, liné 27 through col. 35, line 39).

Re claim 2: wherein said resource engine includes a computerimplemented device configured to facilitate at least one of the management, assignment, grading, and tracking of at least one of resources, tasks, applications, and skills, said method including: a device configured to facilitate obtaining information applications problems; a device configured to facilitate the defining of tasks associated with said application problems; device configured to facilitate a determination of the desired resource skills sets to complete said tasks; and a device configured to facilitate the management of said resource: and tasks, wherein said device includes at least one of: a device configured to facilitate the automatic grading of skills sets of at least one of local and remote resources to determine resource skills sets scores; a device configured to facilitate the automatic assignment of said resources to said tasks based on said desired resource skills sets and said resource skills sets scores, and a device configured to facilitate the automatic tracking of said resources based on task start and completion times (figs. 15A-B & 22; col. 11, lines 1-9; col. 33, lines 27-35; col. 63, line 49 through col. 65, line 56; and col. 72, line 58 through col. 73, line 49).

Art Unit: 2876

Re claim 3: wherein the RFID reader is configured to be at least one of a free standing and a computer-implemented device (figs. 1A, 1D, 1E, 1H, 1J).

Re claim 4: wherein said resource engine further comprises: a device configured to facilitate grading and tracking said resources and said tasks by the use of an algorithm, said algorithm based upon at least one of: the start times for said application tasks, the completion times for said application tasks and comparisons of resource efficiencies relating to application tasks (figs. 15A-B & 22; col. 63, line 49 through col. 65, line 56; and col. 72, line 58 through col. 73, line 49).

Re claim 5: wherein the RFID reader is further configured to with at least one biometric security devices, wherein the biometric security devices include at least one of pre-registration biometric information, a biometric sensor, and current biometric information (col. 14, lines 60-67).

Re claim 6: wherein said biometric information includes at least one of fingerprints, a facial scan, a retinal image, an iris image, a voice print, and a vascular pattern scan (fig. 21; col. 72, lines 5-16).

Re claim 7: wherein said RFID reader is further configured to communicate with at least one third-party merchant systems (i.e.,

Art Unit: 2876

communicating via internet with a plurality of Internet appliances) (figs. 2B & 2C; col. 35, lines 15-38).

Re claim 8: wherein said RFID reader is configured to facilitate at least one of transactions, security, and check-out (e.g., transaction/checkout at tollbooth 330).

Re claim 9: see claims 1, 5 and 6 above.

Re claim 10: said resource engine further comprising universal serial bus interface 156 (fig. 3).

Re claim 11: see claim 7 above

Re claim 12: wherein said RFID reader is further configured with a device to monitor and track locational information (figs. 23-25; col. 35, lines 15-39 and col. 73, line 50 through col. 76, line 47).

Re claims 13, 14 and 16: see claims 1 and 2 above.

Re claim 15: wherein said step of obtaining information related to applications problems further comprises the step of accessing a system for n the management, assignment, grading, and tracking of at least one of resources, tasks, applications, and skills said step of accessing comprises: inputting authentication information including at least one of a name, password, and identification; verifying said authentication information; and transmitting at least one of a homepage and webpage for interfacing with said system (col. 40, lines 13-35).

Art Unit: 2876

Re claims 17-18: see claims 5 and 6 above.

Re claim 19: see claim 7 above.

Re claim 20: see claim 8 above.

Re claim 21: wherein said step of communicating further comprises using said communications to facilitate security measures (see abstract).

Re claims 22-26: see claims 1-7 and 12 above.

#### Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The patents to Kolls (US 6895310 B1); Barnes et al (US 20050038718 A1); Hanna et al (US 20040016796 A1) are cited as of interest and illustrate a similar structure to method and system for tracking user performance.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Uyen-Chau N. Le whose telephone number is 571-272-2397. The examiner can normally be reached on First Monday 5:30AM-1:30PM and Tues-Fri 5:30AM-3PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be

Art Unit: 2876

reached on 571-272-2398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Uyen-Chau N. Le Primary Examiner Art Unit 2876

Uchaule

Page 14

March 16, 2006